

-IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION

ADJUSTACAM LLC

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC.; ET AL.

NO. 6:10-cv-329-LED

JURY

UNOPPOSED MOTION TO DISMISS NEWEGG AND ROSEWILL

Plaintiff AdjustaCam LLC respectfully submits this unopposed motion to dismiss the claims and counterclaims involving Defendants/Counter-claimants Newegg Inc., Newegg.com Inc., and Rosewill, Inc. (collectively “NewEgg/Rosewill”), pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(a)(2) as follows:

I.

This is a patent infringement case involving asserted claims 1, 7 and 19 (the “Asserted Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 5,855,343 (the “’343 patent”). On August 30, 2012, at the culmination of reexamination proceedings involving the ‘343 patent, the U.S.P.T.O. issued a Final Office Action rejecting the Asserted Claims for being unpatentable over prior art but allowing additional new and amended claims. Ex. 1. On September 20, 2012, in response to that Final Office Action, Plaintiff canceled the Asserted Claims of the ‘343 patent, Ex. 2, so that a certificate of reexamination can issue concerning the multiple new and amended claims deemed allowable.

Moreover, on August 27, 2012, Plaintiff granted Newegg/Rosewill a covenant not to sue under the ‘343 Patent (Dkt. 678).

In view of the foregoing, the following issues in this case are now moot or near moot: (1) infringement of the Asserted Claims; (2) validity of the Asserted Claims; and (3) damages from infringement of the Asserted Claims. Accordingly, Plaintiff hereby moves for an order

dismissing its claims against NewEgg/Rosewill **with prejudice**. In addition, NewEgg/Rosewill does not oppose, and Plaintiff hereby moves for, an order dismissing NewEgg/Rosewill's counterclaims **without prejudice**.

II.

NewEgg/Rosewill does not oppose dismissal of AdjustaCam's claims and Newegg/Rosewill's counterclaims as set forth herein, with the exception of Newegg/Rosewill's right to seek to obtain and recover costs and/or attorneys' fees pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 54(d)(1) and/or (2) and 35 U.S.C. § 285..

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff requests the foregoing relief.

September 27, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ John J. Edmonds
John J. Edmonds – LEAD COUNSEL
Texas State Bar No. 789758
Michael J. Collins
Texas Bar No. 4614510
Stephen F. Schlather
Texas Bar No. 24007993
COLLINS, EDMONDS, POGORZELSKI,
SCHLATHER & TOWER, PLLC
1616 S. Voss Rd., Suite 125
Houston, Texas 77057
Telephone: (713) 501-3425
Facsimile: (832) 415-2535
jedmonds@cepiplaw.com
mcollins@cepiplaw.com
sschlather@cepiplaw.com

Andrew W. Spangler
Texas Bar No. 24041960
Spangler & Fussell P.C.
208 N. Green Street, Suite 300
Longview, Texas 75601

(903) 753-9300
(903) 553-0403 (fax)
spangler@spanglerlawpc.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
ADJUSTACAM LLC

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that counsel for Plaintiff have conferred with counsel for NewEgg/Rosewill in accordance with L.R. CV-7, and that NewEgg/Rosewill does not oppose this motion.

September 27, 2012

/s/ John J. Edmonds

John J. Edmonds

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3).

September 27, 2012

/s/ John J. Edmonds

John J. Edmonds